



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| | | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/601,212 | 06/20/2003 | Richard D. Gillespie III | 8567-0876U1 | 7310 |
| 27623 | 7590 | 08/18/2009 | EXAMINER | |
| OHLANDT, GREELEY, RUGGIERO & PERLE, LLP | | | PRICE, NATHAN R | |
| ONE LANDMARK SQUARE, 10TH FLOOR | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| STAMFORD, CT 06901 | | | 3763 | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 08/18/2009 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/601,212 | GILLESPIE, RICHARD D. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | NATHAN R. PRICE | 3763 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NATHAN R. PRICE. (3) Y. Jae Kim.

(2) Nick Lucchesi. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 August 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 2,13,18,22,23,33 and 34.

Identification of prior art discussed: Crossman et al. (US 5300030).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant requested clarification on elements referenced in the Office Action dated 04 June 2009. Specifically, the elements listed in the attached Agenda were discussed. Examiner clarified the points identified by Applicant, and further explained how the claims are being interpreted for the purposes of examination.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/N. R. P/
Examiner, Art Unit 3763

/Nicholas D Lucchesi/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763